



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

45

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,102	07/01/2003	Israel Dvoretzky	302-390-7-1-1	3443

27106 7590 01/03/2005

MELVIN I. STOLTZ, ESQ.
51 CHERRY STREET
MILFORD, CT 06460

EXAMINER

ROLLINS, ROSILAND STACIE

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3739

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/612,102

Applicant(s)

DVORETZKY ET AL.

Examiner

Rosiland S Rollins

Art Unit

3739

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 July 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 10, 12- 17 and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dvoretzky et al. Dvoretzky et al. teach a treatment system including a foamed (see claim 3) thermoplastic holding and supporting member (21) for being secured to a part of the human body and a heat delivery patch (22) cooperating with the holding and supporting member to apply heat therapy and a systemic or topical medication to a desired site on the body. The holding and supporting member has an elongated, planar construction with hook and loop fasteners for securing it to the body (figure 1). Also, the device may include skin-enhancing agents such as solvents like salicylic acid and lactic acid that will benefit from the heat gradient (col. 5 lines 5-10).

Dvoretzky et al teach all of the limitations of the claims except for the type of medication. Dvoretzky et al discloses the use of medication to enable deeper heat penetration. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to select the particular medications as claimed as a mere design choice based on their suitability for the intended use.

Claims 18-22, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dvoretzky et al '021 in view of Last (US 4905998).

Dvoretzky et al. teach all of the limitations of the claims except the device being shaped as a hollow cylinder with an elongated strap. Dvoretzky et al teach a treatment system including a foam thermoplastic holding and supporting member (21) that can surround and be secured to the arms, legs, wrists. or ankles and a heat-delivering patch (22) cooperating with the holding and supporting member to apply heat therapy and a systemic or topical medication to a desired site on the body. The holding and supporting members has an elongated, planar construction with hook and loop fasteners for securing it to the body (figure 1). Also, the device of Dvoretzky et al. may include skin enhancing agents such as solvents like salicylic acid and lactic acid that will benefit from the heat gradient (col. 5 lines 5-10. Last teaches a holding and supporting member with a heat-retaining pad (22). The device has a cylindrical shape and is adapted to securely surround a particular part of the human anatomy including the elbow (figure 3). The device also contains a strap member (26) that enables tightening of the device in a desired location. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that the device of Dvoretzky et al. could have been modified to the shape and structure of the device of Last as a suitable construction for securely fastening the device around a body part to be treated.

Claims 29-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dvoretzky et al. '021 in view of Last '998 and further. The combined device of Dvoretzky et al. and Last teaches all of the limitations of the claims except for the use of the particular medications with the device. Dvoretzky et al discloses the use of medication to enable deeper heat penetration. Therefore, it would have been obvious

Art Unit: 3739

to one having ordinary skill in the art to select the particular medications as claimed as a mere design choice based on their suitability for the intended use.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/4/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Dvoretzky does not teach the use of any systemic medication which must be absorbed into the bloodstream. Applicant has established that keratolytics are considered systemic medications. In col. 5 lines 5+ Dvoretzky discloses that various keratolytic agents can be incorporated with and/or into the exothermic pad. Therefore, Dvoretzky teaches the use of a systemic medication.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3739

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosiland S Rollins whose telephone number is (571) 272-4772. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Rosiland S Rollins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739

RR